File	With	
110	4 4 1 5 1 1	

SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO:_ABP_3 4485-22 Defer Re O/H
Having considered the contents of the submission dated received ON 10412024 from Mary Grogor I recommend that section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000 before the invoked at this stage for the following reason(s):. No new material issues E.O.: Date: 1710412024
For further consideration by SEO/SAO
Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.
Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply.
S.E.O.: Date:
S.A.O: Date:
M
Please prepare BP Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached submission
o: Task No:
Mow 2/3/4weeks – BP
O: Date:
A: Date:

File With	

CORRESPONDENCE FORM

Appeal No: ABP 314485-22			
	01/04/2024 as follows:		
 Update database with new agent for Applicar Acknowledge with BP 23 Keep copy of Board's Letter □ 	1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP 2. Keep Envelope:		
Amendments/Comments Mary Grogen response to 5,131			
4. Attach to file (a) R/S	RETURN TO EO		
	Plans Date Stamped Date Stamped Filled in		
Date: 17/04/2029	Date: 25/04/2024		

Fergal Ryan

From:

Bord

Sent:

02 April 2024 09:47

To:

Appeals2

Subject:

FW:

Attachments:

An Bord Pleanala Letter 2nd April 2024.docx

From: Mary Grogan <marygrogan@hotmail.com>

Sent: Monday, April 1, 2024 4:58 PM

To: Bord <bord@pleanala.ie>

Subject: Fw:

Caution: This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Please find Submission reference ABP-314485-22 It should be noted i have forwarded a submission Please acknowledge receiving. Kind Regards Mary Grogan



An Bord Pleanála

64 Marlborough St.

Dublin 1

D01 V902

RE: Case Number ABP- 314485-22 Relevant Action Application Dublin Airport Planing Authority Reference Number F 20A/0668

Dear Sir/Madam

Further to your correspondence I would like to make the following observations/submissions: I would also like it noted also that I fully support the St Margaret's /The Ward

- 1. I was shocked to see that the noise contours have extended hugely into the community and that a very significant number of dwellings are now included within the noise eligibility contours. Firstly, it should be noted that there was no notice of this fact in any of the planning notices for this application to date. Many of y neighbours who thought they were not affected by this application are now inside these contours but yet were never publicly notified until they attended a public meeting held by St Margarets /The Ward residents' group who explained this to all of us. None of the newspaper or site notices informed the public. Secondly, the people who now know they are within the contours have not been given the opportunity to make a submission/observation as they do not qualify because they did not make a submission previously as they thought they were unaffected. An Bord Pleanála did not give a public notice of this significant additional information. The above is totally unacceptable and unjust to the communities affected.
- 2. It should be noted that the correspondence from Tom Phillips & Associates refers to the ANCA Regulatory Decision regarding eligibility to the noise insulation scheme and suggest that the change in contours is as a result of their assessing that the increased area is as a result of them considering this new area which contains dwellings to having "very significant" effects. It should be noted that the DAA have never carried out significant test criteria within any of the EIAR they have submitted and therefore they have not met with the EIA directive. This is a fundamental flaw in the assessment as the EIA directive is clear, all significant impact on environment must be identified, quantified and mitigation proposed. That has not happened to date. For areas under the North Runway this involves comparing the scenario with no flights from the North Runway to a scenario where there will be night flights. This has not been done.

- 3. St Margarets The Ward residents carried out noise monitoring on the north runway flight path and found the noise levels to be far beyond those PREDICTED by DAA. Their noise predictions are not accurate and unfounded and they are trying to obtain permission by manipulating numbers. Why can they not submit actual noise results along the flight path which has been in operation since August 2022. The community could.
- 4. Reference is made to the noise zones on Fingal development plan. These noise zones must now be revised due to the proposed flight path over our area. Fingal County Council consider that there should be no residential development allowed in noise zone A as it is considered harmful to health or otherwise considered unacceptable due to the high levels of aircraft noise. However, the fight path now being operated by DAA is putting many existing residences in Noise Zone A and B which is just not acceptable from a health point of view.
- 5. I am requesting that A Bord Pleanála does not relax the restrictions imposed on the night time flights and this numerical cap stays in place between 11pm and 7am.
- 6. I would also note that DAA are breaching this condition presently. between 11pm and 7am

I would request An Board Pleanála to instigate an independent professional acoustic survey to accurately reflect the living reality of the communities neighbouring at both runways at Dublin Airport.

In summary planning is an afterthought for DAA. Their actions show that they do not respect planning legislation or decisions of An Bord Pleanála. This application must be refused.

Yours Sincerely,

Signed

Dated 1/4/24

Beoughan The Ward Co Dublin D11XY26

May grosar

Ph:0868832627